

2

3

4

5

6

7

#### **ICH Reflection Paper**

International Harmonisation of Real-World Evidence Terminology and Convergence of General Principles Regarding Planning and Reporting of Studies Using Real-World Data, with a Focus on Effectiveness of Medicines

Under public consultation until 30 September 2023

#### Introduction

- 8 The role of real-world data (RWD) and real-world evidence (RWE) in supporting the evaluation of
- 9 medicines across the different stages of their development and lifecycle-is evolving [Framework for
- 10 FDA, United States' Real-World Evidence Program 2018; Optimizing the Use of Real World Evidence
- 11 to Inform Regulatory Decision-Making, Health Canada, Canada's 2019; ENCePP Guide on
- 12 Methodological Standards in Pharmacoepidemiology, EMA 2022].
- 13 In July 2022, the International Coalition of Medicines Regulatory Authorities expressed its strong
- support to strengthening international collaboration on activities to enable the use of RWE in regulatory
- decision-making [ICMRA, 2022]. This statement emphasises the engagement of regulatory agencies
- across the globe to address current gaps due to the lack of standardisation of RWD/RWE terminology
- and formats, the heterogeneity of data quality across RWD sources, and the various study designs used
- depending on the types of diseases, medicines, and regulatory context. Addressing these challenges
- should be supported by common definitions and best practices.
- 20 This Reflection Paper outlines a strategic approach for ICH to address some of these challenges. The
- 21 goal is to further enable the integration of RWE into regulatory submissions and timely regulatory
- 22 decision-making.

23

#### Main technical issues to be addressed

- 24 Recognising that traditional randomised clinical trials (RCTs) are foundational for generating evidence
- on safety and effectiveness pre-authorisation, under appropriate circumstances other approaches can
- 26 generate evidence suitable for regulatory decision-making. For example, RWE can be generated by
- using RWD to ascertain endpoints in point-of-care RCTs or serve as a comparator arm in an externally
- controlled trial (including historically controlled trials)[Framework for FDA, United States' Real-
- 29 World Evidence Program (2018)]. RWD are also used in non-interventional studies [FDA
- 30 Considerations for the Use of Real-World Data and Real-World Evidence To Support Regulatory
- 31 Decision-Making for Drug and Biological Products (2021)], for example to analyse the utilisation of an
- 32 authorised medicine in routine medical practice, and to generate evidence that supports regulatory
- decisions on (long-term) post-marketing safety and effectiveness of medicines [Jonker et al., 2022;
- Flynn et al., 2022]. RWD can be used to better understand current treatment patterns, co-morbidities,
- and disease prognosis [Dagenais et al., 2022].
- 36 Nevertheless, several challenges exist, including the heterogeneity of RWD types (e.g., electronic health
- 37 records, registry data, claims data, longitudinal drug prescriptions, dispensing or other drug utilisation
- data, patient-generated data), healthcare settings (e.g., primary/secondary/tertiary cares, self-treatable
- 39 conditions), data source characteristics (e.g., purpose, population coverage, data elements, coding
- 40 terminology), levels of data quality and data validity, and a variety of governance models for data



sharing and access [Bakker et al., 2022; Morton et al., 2016], emphasised by distinct national/regional laws and regulations. The suitability of RWD to generate adequate evidence to support regulatory applications currently requires a case-by-case analysis, which may be driven by different criteria related to the aforementioned factors and depending on the research question(s).

There are currently no internationally harmonised definitions of RWD and RWE.

- The Framework for FDA, United States' Real-World Evidence Program (2018) defines RWD as "the data relating to patient health status and/or the delivery of health care routinely collected from a variety of sources", and RWE as "clinical evidence regarding the usage and potential benefits or risks of a medical product derived from analysis of RWD. RWE can be generated by different study designs or analyses, including but not limited to, randomised trials, including large simple trials, pragmatic trials, and observational studies (prospective and/or retrospective)".
- RWD has been defined in an EU-led publication as "routinely collected data relating to a patient's health status or the delivery of health care from a variety of sources other than traditional clinical trials", and RWE as "the information derived from the analysis of RWD" [Cave et al., 2019].
- Although these definitions (and others such as from learned societies, other regulators, etc.) are similar, the terms RWD and RWE are nonetheless used inconsistently and interchangeably [Concato et al., 2020; Concato & Corrigan-Curay, 2022; ENCePP, 2022]. Applying different definitions has limited convergence of best practices among regulators.
- Recently published studies have attempted to measure the frequency of use of RWD/RWE in medicine approvals and the extent of use for decision-making [Flynn et al., 2022; Purpura et al., 2021; Eskola et al., 2021]. Variable interpretation of definitions, heterogenous ways of describing and characterising RWD sources, and diverse methodologies used in these studies have led to a different estimated number of medicines' applications including RWD/RWE. While a significant and increasing proportion of marketing authorisations contain RWE, these observed discrepancies may lead to different levels of acceptance of what is considered to be RWD/RWE across jurisdictions.
- Whilst the contribution of what is now called RWD and RWE has long been recognised for safety monitoring and disease epidemiology across medicines' lifecycles, their use to demonstrate effectiveness is more nascent. Additional work is needed for an in-depth analysis of the actual contribution of RWE to regulatory decision-making, why such information was not considered adequate in some cases, and how it contributed to the approval in other cases. This work would also help complement existing recommendations to medicines developers on the submission of RWE (see the Annex including Regulatory Agencies guidance on RWD/RWE as well as the following publications [Simpson et al., 2022 (part 4); Kent et al., 2021; Griesinger et al., 2022; Simpson et al., 2022 (part 8); Jaksa et al., 2021; Angelis et al., 2018]).
- National/regional laws and regulations can present challenges to convergence and harmonisation of terminology and convergence of guidance related to RWD/RWE. However, reaching common understanding at international level on terminology and how RWD/RWE can reduce gaps in knowledge for new and existing medicines, and would help drive forward access to innovative medicines.



82

84

85

86

87

92

93

94

95

## Objectives and potential benefits

- 83 The objectives of this Reflection Paper are:
  - To engage ICH on convergence of terminology for RWD and RWE, on the format for protocols and reports of study results submitted to regulatory agencies throughout the lifecycle of medicinal products, and on promoting registration of protocols and reports;
  - To inform the assessment of RWD and RWE for regulatory purposes.
- The ultimate benefit of this work is expected to be higher quality RWE that can substantively contribute to the body of evidence supporting the benefit and risk decision-making on medicines.
- The following stepwise harmonisation approach is proposed, with the scope and focus to be reassessed prior to initiating the work:

	Торіс	Objective	Deliverables	Tentative timeframe
1.	RWD/RWE terminology, metadata, and assessment principles	<ul> <li>Promote a common understanding of the types and scope of RWD/RWE</li> <li>Guide the discoverability, identification, and description of RWD</li> <li>Inform the assessment of RWD/RWE for regulatory purposes</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Common operational definitions of RWD and RWE, with clear scope, breadth of potential RWD sources, and level of granularity (e.g., pertaining to RCTs and non-interventional studies)<sup>1</sup></li> <li>Core list and use of metadata</li> <li>General principles for assessment of RWD/RWE</li> </ul>	Submit new ICH topic proposal in Dec 2023 or Dec 2024
2.	RWD/RWE protocol & report format, and study transparency	<ul> <li>Agree on common principles regarding formats for RWD/RWE protocols and reports of study results submitted to regulators</li> <li>Promote transparency by encouraging registration of study protocols and study reports in publicly available registries</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Principles for structure and content of protocols and reports (for medicines developers)</li> <li>Recommended "best practices" for registration of study protocols/results</li> </ul>	Initiate work after the first guideline reaches <i>Step 4</i> of the ICH Procedure

- This Reflection Paper represents the initial step of an incremental approach towards harmonisation of regulatory RWE guidance. The following topics could be considered priorities for subsequent ICH guidelines, based on stakeholders' feedback:
  - Best practices for data quality;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reference to the ICH M14 glossary will be made during development of the Concept Paper.



- Data standards for RWD;
  - Appropriate application of study designs and data analyses.

## Important considerations

- 99 Stakeholders and consultation
- The complexity of use and impact of RWD/RWE in medicines regulation raise numerous challenges,
- and the need for involvement of all concerned stakeholders is therefore acknowledged. Should this
- Reflection Paper be endorsed by ICH, a public consultation is proposed based on the learnings from
- other ICH guidelines such as ICH E6(R3), ICH E8, and ICH E17 to ensure that all relevant parties are
- informed and given the opportunity to bring forward their views on technical and operational aspects
- to be considered when addressing harmonisation of the different focus areas described above. Following
- the proposed global public consultation on this Reflection Paper and as the guideline work progresses,
- the Concept Paper and Business Plan should include strategies for extended public consultation and
- 108 engagement.

97

98

- 109 Benefits of ICH harmonisation
- This proposal will aim to benefit all types of medicinal products at any stage of their lifecycle, i.e., from
- development/pre-approval to post-marketing monitoring. ICH guidance can increase the efficiency of
- 112 resources across a large number of stakeholders, by aligning expectations of medicines regulators,
- 113 medicines developers/pharmaceutical industry, patient advocacy groups, contract research
- organisations, academia, and other stakeholders using RWD to generate evidence on medicinal
- 115 products.
- By supporting the delivery of a regulatory system able to integrate RWE in a more harmonised way
- into submissions for medicines approval and decision-making, this proposal can support timely
- decisions on the development of innovative treatments, help to address unmet medical needs and
- support the safe and effective use of medicines.
- 120 Interface with existing and upcoming guidances (see Annex)
- 121 Several initiatives have been launched in different regions, including but not limited to Europe, the
- 122 United States and Canada, to evaluate and enable the use of RWE across the spectrum of regulatory use
- cases that will ultimately lead the development and utilisation of medicines for patients. In December
- 2018, FDA, United States published a RWE Framework to address current challenges in using RWD
- and RWE. This was followed by a series of RWD/RWE draft guidances in 2021, a final guidance on
- submitting documents utilising RWD/RWE in 2022, and a draft guidance on externally controlled trials
- in 2023. Health Canada is also working towards optimising the use of RWE to inform regulatory
- decision-making as described in a Health Products and Food Branch Notice, first published in April
- 2019. In 2020 the HMA/EMA Big Data Task Force issued ten priority recommendations linked to
- 130 human medicines, related to DARWIN-EU, including the development of the European Medicines
- 131 Regulatory Network Data Standardisation Strategy to allow convergence with partners on standards
- and guidelines linked to Big Data and RWE. All these initiatives will support the development of the
- proposed new ICH guidelines.
- Synergies and complementarities are foreseen with other ICH guidelines, for example E6(R3) and its
- Annex II, ICH M14, as well as ICH M11, currently under development. The ICH guideline M14 on
- "General principles on planning and designing pharmacoepidemiological studies that utilize real-



world data for safety assessment of a medicine" focuses on convergence of guidance and best practices across jurisdictions on planning and designing safety studies that use RWD, whereas the potential for RWE can be broadened to include assessing the effectiveness of medicines and analysing utilisation of marketed medicines administered in routine medical practice. The ICH guideline M11 on "Clinical electronic Structured Harmonised Protocol (CeSHarP)" covers general protocol design principles and approach used to develop the separate associated documents, i.e. the ICH M11 Clinical Electronic Structured Harmonised Protocol Template and the Technical Specification, that are acceptable to all regulatory authorities of the ICH regions. The scope of the M11 guideline focuses on protocol of clinical trials only, whereas RWD have been used mostly in non-interventional studies. The proposed guideline 2 includes the convergence of structure of study reports in addition to study protocols.

Efforts will be needed to ensure that duplications are minimised, and that knowledge gathered from existing projects are leveraged to the maximum so that we can build on lessons learnt.

Recognising the need to leverage the relevant expertise (e.g., pharmacoepidemiology, biostatistics, regulatory science) within regulatory authorities and medicines developers to undertake this initiative, and to leave enough time for the maturation of guidances under development, a long-term plan with a stepwise approach is suggested. This strategy will help effectively progress towards harmonisation of terminology related to RWD and RWE and best practices while ensuring complementarity of scope between the new and existing guidelines.

### Annex – Regulatory initiatives and guidances related to RWD/RWE

The following table provides examples of existing regulatory initiatives and guidances related to RWD and RWE. It does not constitute a complete inventory of planned or ongoing activities across jurisdictions. In addition, there are many other non-regulatory initiatives and guidances that will be considered as this work progresses (e.g., from learned societies and other relevant projects and stakeholders).

Jurisdictions	Regulatory Initiatives and Guidances	Links
EC, Europe	<ul> <li>Joint HMA/EMA Big Data Initiative, including:</li> <li>Darwin EU</li> <li>Data quality framework for EU medicines regulation</li> <li>Metadata list describing real-world data sources and studies</li> <li>Good practice guide for the use of the Metadata Catalogue of Real-World Data Sources</li> <li>Data standardization strategy</li> </ul>	<u>LINK</u>
(EMA-EC)	<ul> <li>Other Guidances:</li> <li>CHMP guideline on registry-based studies</li> <li>ENCePP Code of Conduct</li> <li>ENCePP Guide on Methodological Standards in Pharmacoepidemiology</li> <li>Good pharmacovigilance practices (GVP) Module VIII of post-authorisation safety studies</li> <li>Scientific guidance on post-authorisation efficacy studies</li> </ul>	LINK LINK LINK LINK
FDA, United States	Framework for FDA, United States' Real-World Evidence Program (2018)	LINK



Individual Guidances:	Jurisdictions	Regulatory Initiatives and Guidances	Links
Health Canada, Canada Canada  Health Canada, Canada Canada  Elements of real world data/evidence quality throughout the prescription drug product life cycle  DRAFT CADTH Real-World Evidence Reporting Guidance  Guidance on the use of real-world data in clinical studies to support regulatory decisions  Guideline on randomised controlled trials using real-world data to support regulatory decisions  Real-world data in Saudi Arabia: Current situation and challenges for regulatory decision-making  Swissmedic, Switzerland position paper on the use of real world evidence  HSA, Singapore  NMPA, China  Optimizing the Use of Real-World Evidence to Support Drug Development and Regulatory Decisions  Guidance on the Use of Real-World Evidence to Support Drug Development and Regulatory Decisions  Guidance on Communication with Regulatory Agency on Real-World Studies to Support Product Registration  Guidance on the Design and Protocol Development of Real-World Studies		<ul> <li>Assessing Electronic Health Records and Medical Claims Data         To Support Regulatory Decision-Making for Drug and         Biological Products</li> <li>Assessing Registries to Support Regulatory Decision-Making         for Drug and Biological Products</li> <li>Considerations for the Use of Real-World Data and Real-         World Evidence to Support Regulatory Decision-Making for         Drug and Biological Products</li> <li>Data Standards for Drug and Biological Product Submissions         Containing Real-World Data</li> <li>Submitting Documents Utilizing Real-World Data and Real-         World Evidence to FDA for Drugs and Biologics</li> <li>Use of Electronic Health Records in Clinical Investigations</li> <li>Use of Real-World Evidence to Support Regulatory Decision-         Making for Medical Devices</li> <li>Considerations for the Design and Conduct of Externally</li> </ul>	<u>LINK</u>
MHRA, UK  Guidance on the use of real-world data in clinical studies to support regulatory decisions  Guideline on randomised controlled trials using real-world data to support regulatory decisions  SFDA, Saudi Arabia  Real-world data in Saudi Arabia: Current situation and challenges for regulatory decision-making  Swissmedic, Switzerland position paper on the use of real world evidence  HSA, Singapore  MRA, Singapore  Digital Health - UNDERSTANDING DIGITAL HEALTH PRODUCTS AND THE REGULATIONS  Guidance for Real-World Data Used to Generate Real-World Evidences  Guidance on the Use of Real-World Evidence to Support Drug Development and Regulatory Decisions  Guidance on Communication with Regulatory Agency on Real-World Studies to Support Product Registration  Guidance on the Design and Protocol Development of Real-World Studies		Optimizing the Use of Real World Evidence to Inform Regulatory Decision-Making Elements of real world data/evidence quality throughout the prescription drug product life cycle	<u>LINK</u>
SFDA, Saudi Arabia Real-world data in Saudi Arabia: Current situation and challenges for regulatory decision-making  Swissmedic, Switzerland position paper on the use of real world evidence  HSA, Singapore Digital Health - UNDERSTANDING DIGITAL HEALTH PRODUCTS AND THE REGULATIONS Guidance for Real-World Data Used to Generate Real-World Evidences Guidance on the Use of Real-World Evidence to Support Drug Development and Regulatory Decisions Guidance on Communication with Regulatory Agency on Real-World Studies to Support Product Registration Guidance on the Design and Protocol Development of Real-World Studies	MHRA, UK	Guidance on the use of real-world data in clinical studies to support regulatory decisions  Guideline on randomised controlled trials using real-world data to	<u>LINK</u>
Switzerland evidence  HSA, Singapore Digital Health - UNDERSTANDING DIGITAL HEALTH PRODUCTS AND THE REGULATIONS  Guidance for Real-World Data Used to Generate Real-World Evidences  Guidance on the Use of Real-World Evidence to Support Drug Development and Regulatory Decisions  Guidance on Communication with Regulatory Agency on Real-World Studies to Support Product Registration  Guidance on the Design and Protocol Development of Real-World Studies	Arabia	Real-world data in Saudi Arabia: Current situation and challenges for regulatory decision-making	<u>LINK</u>
Singapore  PRODUCTS AND THE REGULATIONS  Guidance for Real-World Data Used to Generate Real-World Evidences  Guidance on the Use of Real-World Evidence to Support Drug Development and Regulatory Decisions  Guidance on Communication with Regulatory Agency on Real- World Studies to Support Product Registration  Guidance on the Design and Protocol Development of Real-World Studies	•	* * *	<u>LINK</u>
NMPA, China  Evidences  Guidance on the Use of Real-World Evidence to Support Drug Development and Regulatory Decisions  Guidance on Communication with Regulatory Agency on Real- World Studies to Support Product Registration  Guidance on the Design and Protocol Development of Real-World Studies	·	_	<u>LINK</u>
DKMA Danish Health data and registers <u>LINK</u>	NMPA, China	Evidences Guidance on the Use of Real-World Evidence to Support Drug Development and Regulatory Decisions Guidance on Communication with Regulatory Agency on Real-World Studies to Support Product Registration Guidance on the Design and Protocol Development of Real-World	<u>LINK</u>
	DKMA	Danish Health data and registers	<u>LINK</u>



Jurisdictions	Regulatory Initiatives and Guidances	Links
MHLW/PMDA, Japan	<ul> <li>Working group to deal with regulatory issues related to RWD:</li> <li>Basic Principles on Utilization of Registry for Applications</li> <li>Basic principles for utilization of medical information databases in post-marketing pharmacovigilance</li> <li>Points to consider for Ensuring the Reliability in Utilization of Registry Data for Applications</li> <li>Guidelines for the Conduct of Pharmacoepidemiological Studies in Drug Safety Assessment with Medical Information Databases</li> </ul>	<u>LINK</u>
MFDS, Republic of Korea	Guideline on the use of Medical Information Database (Real World Data) in pharmacoepidemiologic study	<u>LINK</u>
Worldwide	<ul> <li>ICH guidelines:</li> <li>ICH M14 "General principles on planning and designing pharmacoepidemiological studies that utilize real-world data for safety assessment of a medicine"</li> <li>ICH M11 "Clinical electronic Structured Harmonised Protocol (CeSHarP)"</li> <li>ICH E6(R3) "Good Clinical Practice (GCP)" and Annex II on the use of RWD</li> <li>ICH E8 "General Considerations for Clinical Studies"</li> <li>ICH E9 (R1) (addendum on estimands) "Statistical Principles for Clinical Trials"</li> <li>ICH E10 "Choice of Control Group and Related Issues in Clinical Trials"</li> <li>ICH E11A "Paediatric Extrapolation"</li> <li>ISPE/ISPOR initiatives (non-exhaustive list):</li> <li>HARPER (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pds.5507)</li> <li>EQUATOR (https://www.equator-network.org/)</li> <li>ISPE guidelines for good pharmacoepidemiology practices (https://www.pharmacoepi.org/resources/policies/guidelines-08027/)</li> <li>CIOMS Working Group XIII – Real World Data and Real World Evidence In Regulatory Decision Making</li> </ul>	<u>ICH</u>

# **Bibliography**

162

- Angelis, A., Lange, A. & Kanavos, P. Using health technology assessment to assess the value of new
- medicines: results of a systematic review and expert consultation across eight European countries. Eur
- 165 J Health Econ 19, 123–152 (2018).
- Bakker E, Plueschke K, Jonker CJ, Kurz X, Starokozhko V, Mol PGM. Contribution of real-world
- evidence in EMA regulatory decision making. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2022 Oct 17. doi:
- 168 10.1002/cpt.2766.
- 169 Cave A, Kurz X, Arlett P. Real-World Data for Regulatory Decision Making: Challenges and Possible
- 170 Solutions for Europe. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2019 Jul;106(1):36-39.



- 171 Concato, J, Stein, P, Dal Pan, GJ, Ball, R, Corrigan-Curay, J. Randomized, observational,
- interventional, and real-world—What's in a name? Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2020; 29: 1514—
- 173 1517. https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.5123
- 174 Concato J, Corrigan-Curay J. Real-World Evidence Where Are We Now? N Engl J Med. 2022 May
- 175 5;386(18):1680-1682.
- European Network of Centres for Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacovigilance (ENCePP), 2022.
- ENCePP Guide on Methodological Standards in Pharmacoepidemiology, Chapter 15.6.3 (<u>link</u>)
- 178 Flynn R, Plueschke K, Quinten C, Strassmann V, Duijnhoven RG, Gordillo-Marañon M, Rueckbeil
- M, Cohet C, Kurz X. Marketing Authorisation Applications Made to the European Medicines Agency
- in 2018-2019: What was the Contribution of Real-World Evidence? Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2022
- **181** Jan;111(1):90-97.
- Griesinger F, Cox O, Sammon C, Ramagopalan SV, Popat S. Health technology assessments and real-
- world evidence: tell us what you want, what you really, really want. J Comp Eff Res. 2022
- 184 Apr;11(5):297-299.
- 185 International Coalition of Medicines Regulatory Authorities (ICMRA), 2022. ICMRA statement on
- international collaboration to enable real-world evidence (RWE) for regulatory decision-making (<u>link</u>)
- Jaksa A, Wu J, Jónsson P, Eichler HG, Vititoe S, Gatto NM. Organized structure of real-world
- 188 evidence best practices: moving from fragmented recommendations to comprehensive guidance. J
- 189 Comp Eff Res. 2021 Jun;10(9):711-731.
- Jonker C, Bakker E, Kurz X, Plueschke K. Front. Pharmacol., 04 August 2022, Sec. Drugs Outcomes
- 191 Research and Policies
- 192 Kent S, Salcher-Konrad M, Boccia S, Bouvy JC, Waure C, Espin J, Facey K, Nguyen M, Rejon-
- 193 Parrilla JC, Jonsson P. The use of nonrandomized evidence to estimate treatment effects in health
- technology assessment. J Comp Eff Res. 2021; 10(14):1035-1043.
- 195 Purpura CA, Garry EM, Honig N, Case A, Rassen JA. The Role of Real-World Evidence in FDA-
- Approved New Drug and Biologics License Applications. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2022 Jan;111(1):135-
- **197** 144.
- 198 Eskola SM, Leufkens HGM, Bate A, De Bruin ML, Gardarsdottir H. Use of Real-World Data and
- Evidence in Drug Development of Medicinal Products Centrally Authorized in Europe in 2018-2019.
- 200 Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2022 Jan;111(1):310-320.
- Morton SC, Costlow MR, Graff JS, Dubois RW. Standards and guidelines for observational studies:
- quality is in the eye of the beholder. J Clin Epidemiol. 2016; 71:3-10.
- 203 RCT Duplicate publications: https://www.rctduplicate.org/publications.html
- Simpson A, Ramagopalan SV. RWE ready for reimbursement? A round up of developments in real-
- world evidence relating to health technology assessment: part 4. J Comp Eff Res. 2022 Jan;11(1):11-
- 206 12.
- Simpson A, Ramagopalan SV. RWE ready for reimbursement? A round up of developments in real-
- world evidence relating to health technology assessment: part 8. J Comp Eff Res. 2022
- 209 Sep;11(13):915-917.